

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,084	01/02/2004		James J. Macor	CET0401	1325
7	7590	09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard J. Ma	acor		NGUYEN, ANTHONY H		
Proprietary Te	chnolog	ies, Inc.		A DOWN DIE	DADED MIR (DED
26 Alpaugh Dr	rive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Asbury, NJ (213	2854		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/751,084	MACOR, JAMES J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony H Nguyen	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. Form the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u>	anuary 2004					
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 January 2004</u> is/are:	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec	lication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/751,084

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Crisan (US 2003/0121964 A1) in view of Strauch et al. (US 5,861,823).

With respect to claims 1,6-8,12-14,18 and 19 Crisan teaches a keypad having three principle buttons or keys capable of performing twelve switch operations or dialing telephone numbers as shown in Figs.6 and 9 of Crisan (see, also, page 3, paragraph 0034, line 6). Crisan does not clearly teach the four tactile depressible areas of the key. However, Strauch et al. teaches a keypad having multifunction keys (i.e., at least four tactile depressible areas) which transmit tactile sensation to the users' fingers (Strauch et al., col.5 lines 52-54). In view of the teaching of Strauch et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the buttons or keys of Crisan by substituting the keys as taught by Strauch et al. to improve the efficiency of operating or typing on a keypad.

With respect to claims 5, 11 and 17, Crisan teaches all that is claimed, except the button or key having a raised structure. Strauch et al. teaches a key 80 having a raised structure 88 to provide additional tactile sensation (Fig.5 and col.5 lines 55 and 56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the button or key of Crisan by providing the key having a raised structure as taught by Strauch et al. for ease of typing.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,084 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Crisan in view of Strauch et al. as applied to claims 1-18 and 20 above, and further in view of Goodson (US 5,359,658).

Crisan and Strauch et al. teach all that is claimed, except for the overlay material which is used with the buttons or keys. Goodson teaches a keypad having an overlay 11 as shown in Figs. 1 and 3. In view of the teaching of Goodson, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the keypad of Crisan and Strauch et al. by providing the overlay as taught by Goodson for protecting the keypad.

Conclusion

The patents to Krishnan, Jaurgui et al. and Kraus et al. are cited to show other structures having obvious similarities to the claimed structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2169. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld, can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Nguyen O

9/20/04

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800